UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,561	09/22/2005	David Fraser Wixey	1171/42920/159-PCT-US	7450
	7590 03/18/200 ISHNELL, GIANGIOF	EXAMINER		
BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD. 105 WEST ADAMS STREET			DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
SUITE 3600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60603			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/534,561	WIXEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	/Steven O. Douglas/	3771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u> .      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 09 May 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection is considered.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. □ accepted or b)⊠ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08042005,05092005.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/534,561 Page 2

Art Unit: 3771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: "400" and "402". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regard to claim 1, clear and proper antecedent basis for said "port" (line 8) should be defined.

In regard to claim 4-12, clear and proper antecedent basis for said "predetermined functionality" (claims 4-8,11 and 12, line 2; and claim 9, line 3) should be defined.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,561

Page 3

Art Unit: 3771

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is indefinite as to the disclosed structure required to meet the limitation of "an external device adapted to operatively communicate with said apparatus....whereby communication includes at least *interrogation by* said apparatus of said device" (see claim 1, lines 8 and 9), means for said apparatus being interrogated by said external device (see claim 2, line 5), interrogation by said external device...depending on said interrogation (see claim 3, lines 6-8). Examiner acknowledges that the concept of interrogation is known. However, it is not explicitly clear as to how such interrogation is applied to the instant inventive device such that one of ordinary skill could make and use such device. Furthermore, Applicant has disclosed the features of the device in a cookbook-style fashion with reference to a software key via serial data port, code number verification, dongle, the activation of the heated tube and other functionality upgrading (see pages 6-9). Examiner acknowledges such features and considers the disclosure associated with the features to be merely exemplary. Explicit details as to how one of ordinary skill in art would make the instant invention to perform the claimed control requirement of energizing the heater according to user inputs and use of the features. Furthermore, Examiner acknowledges that the operation of control knobs and potentiometers is well known. However, again it is not explicitly clear as to how such control knobs and potentiometers is arranged with respect to the controller

or processor to the instant inventive device such that one of ordinary skill could make and use such device to perform the claimed functions thereof.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gradon et al. (US Pat. 6,349,722).

The Gradon et al. reference discloses a gas delivering apparatus comprising a heater 9, a chamber 4, a body of water (unlabeled) within the chamber 4, a controller 11, a user input 20 and a display 60, as claimed.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Daniell et al. reference pertains to a gas delivering apparatus with associate heater and water source as well as a front selector control.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Steven O. Douglas/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3771

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven O. Douglas/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3771

SD

3/12/08